

REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES
COURT OF TAX APPEALS
QUEZON CITY

RURAL BANK OF TANGUB,
INC.,
Petitioner,

versus

C.T.A. CASE NO. 2258

COMMISSIONER OF
INTERNAL REVENUE,
Respondent.

11/21/24

X - - - - - X

D E C I S I O N

Petitioner is seeking refund of the sum of ₱4,410.00, representing deficiency income tax paid by it for the taxable year 1968 under Official Receipt No. 0222139, dated May 15, 1969.

The facts of this case are embodied in the Stipulation of Facts filed by the parties on September 27, 1974, reading as follows:

1. That Petitioner is a corporation organized and constituted under Rep. Act 720, as amended, and Act 1459, and subject to supervision by the Central Bank under the provisions of Rep. Acts 720, 265 and 336, as amended;

2. That Petitioner was assessed by Respondent for corporate income tax for the period July 1, 1968 to December 31, 1968, and paid for said tax as follows:

<u>Amount Paid</u>	<u>Date Paid</u>	<u>Place of Payment</u>	<u>O.R. No.</u>
₱4,410.00	May 15, 1969	Tangub City	0222139

3. That Petitioner, on March 18, 1971, wrote Respondent for the refund of said payment;

4. That Respondent received said letter of refund as admitted by him in par. 1 of his answer dated June 11, 1971 to the instant Petition;

5. That Petitioner has not received any reply to his refund request from Respondent, which is admitted by him in par. 3 of his Answer dated June 11, 1971 to the instant Petition;

6. That herein Petition was filed on April 3, 1971 within the reglementary period fixed by law;

7. That petitioner uses the calendar method (January 1 to December 31 of any year) in its accounting system, as provided for by sections 242 and 243, of the Rules and Regulations Governing Rural Banks, promulgated by the Monetary Board of the Central Bank as Res. No. 865 on May 19, 1969, and published in the September 11, 1967 issue of the Official Gazette, on page 7995 et seq.

The issue is whether or not the provisions of Republic Act No. 5431 should apply to petitioner effective July 1, 1968.

Petitioner claims that its exemption from taxation under its charter (Republic Act No. 720, as amended) has not been repealed by Section 1 of Republic Act No. 5431. Even assuming that its exemption was repealed, it is still exempt from income tax for the period from July 1 to December 31, 1968 in view of Section 10 of Republic Act No. 5431 which provides that the provisions of said act "shall apply to income for taxable years beginning after June 30, 1968."

DECISION -
CTA CASE NO. 2258

3

In the case of the Rural Bank of Camiling, Inc. v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, CTA Case No. 2041, promulgated on March 16, 1974 (cert. denied in G.R. No. L-38476, May 10, 1974), this Court held:

It appears that petitioner is keeping its books of account on the calendar year basis. Its "taxable year" for purposes of the Income Tax Law is the period from January 1 to December 31 each year. Therefore, the beginning of its taxable year after June 30, 1968, is January 1, 1969, and not July 1, 1968. The decision of respondent holding that the provisions of Republic Act No. 5431 are applicable to petitioner effective July 1, 1968, is obviously erroneous. (See also Rural Bank of Los Baños v. Commissioner of Int. Rev., CTA No. 2231, Nov. 12, 1974, and cases cited therein.)

In view of our opinion that rural banks are not subject to income tax on their income prior to December 31, 1968, pursuant to Section 10 of Republic Act No. 5431, we find it unnecessary to pass upon the issue whether or not said Act repealed the exemption from taxation of petitioner under Republic Act No. 720, as amended. At any rate, the exemption from taxation of rural banks has apparently been restored by Republic Act No. 5939, assuming that Republic Act No. 5431 repealed the prior exemption.

WHEREFORE, respondent is hereby ordered to refund to petitioner the sum of P4,410.00 which was

DECISION -
CTA CASE NO. 2258

4


erroneously paid by the latter as deficiency income
tax for 1968. No costs.

SO ORDERED.

Quezon City, November 21, 1974.


ROMAN M. UMALI
Presiding Judge

WE CONCUR:


ESTANISLAO R. ALVAREZ
Associate Judge


RAMON L. AVANCEÑA
Associate Judge

/s/